




Appendix A includes hydrograph plots in feet for 52 recording stations throughout the marsh in the study area. The locations of the recording stations can be found in Figure 33. All hydrographs display Scenario 2 compared to the other four scenarios: existing conditions, Scenario 3, Scenario 4, and Scenario 5. Scenario 2 is plotted with a solid red line for all plots.


























4.8 5 5.2 Days (September 2007)

5.4

5.6

5.8


6


0.5

4.2

4.4

4.6





4.2

4.4

4.6

4.8

5

Days (September 2007)


5.2

5.4


5.6


5.8


6




Hydroperiod Tidal Elevation Compared to Base Scenario 2 at Marsh Point312









Days (September 2007)





Hydroperiod Tidal Elevation Compared to Base Scenario 2 at Marsh Point359


























Days (September 2007)







5

5.2

5.4

5.6

5.8


4.2

4


4.4


4.6

4.8





Hydroperiod Tidal Elevation Compared to Base Scenario 2 at Marsh Point912
























































5

5.2

5.4

5.6

5.8

6


4.2


4


4.6


4.4


4.8


















































5.8








Days (September 2007)








































Hydroperiod Tidal Elevation Compared to Base Scenario 2 at Marsh Point3946















































Hydroperiod Tidal Elevation Compared to Base Scenario 2 at Marsh Point5883
































Days (September 2007)













Hydroperiod Tidal Elevation Compared to Base Scenario 2 at Marsh Point8652














4.8 5 5.2 Days (September 2007)

5.4

5.6

5.8

6

0.5

4

4.2

4.4

4.6

